Providing for PV John Ewan, CEA

Considerations for Photovoltaic Systems On Non-Residential Buildings



www.flickr.com/photos/bullitt_center

John Ewan

- Cal Poly Graduate
- Licensed General Contractor
- Designer/Builder Passive Solar
- Pacific Energy Company, 1980
- First PV System Off Grid, 1980
- CABEC Certified Energy Analyst
- Photovoltaic Basis of Design SME
- Net Zero Team Member







Net Zero?

- CEC Net Zero is offsetting on site TDV energy use as referred to in T-24. (Envelope)
- Energy Cost Net Zero is offsetting all Electric Utility Cost.
- Full Net Zero is offsetting all on site energy use.

						This was a no-brainer when you look at how much money you save on electrical bills over the course of 25 years' - Jun Beo, solve of the pine Theater
PERFORMANCE Project Name Epoch Estate Wines Ta	CERTIFICAT	E OF COM	IPLIANCE	(Part 2 of 3	PERF-1C Date 11/12/2013	Reference of the second
ANNUAL TDV ENERGY	USE SUMMARY	kBtu/sqft-yr)				Jim Day has barnessed the power of the sub by institution provered solar panels to power the Palm Theatry in Sen Luke Obtype. Dee believes his maximis theater may be the first in the country to install such a system.
Energy Component	Standard Design	Proposed Design	Compliance Margin			UNDER THE SUN,
Space Heating	18.51	6.69	11.82	Heating		THE PALM THRIVES
Space Cooling	93.90	74.03	19.87	Cooling		Bis Microson Tass Jim Dee, owner of the San Luis Obligon theater, The Tenine has satisfied to solar prover at his film house.
Indoor Fans	2.41	3.91	-1.49	Fans		a an officiant to some energy and of this orders value, the solution of the so
Heat Rejection	0.00	0.00	0.00	Heat Rej		The power that's on my receive of San Lais Oktopers warmann within the seven The. Shin power has taken of the routh' and if the best who be cough Air Pathetine County theorem vectority fill will do laive that movie theater may being the seven t
Pumps & Misc.	0.00	0.22	-0.22	Pumps		still such a system and any system Drey, who has even of the common the common limiting inflations is a modeline Drey, who has even of the common limiting inflations a Drey and has a system of the common limiting inflations a Drey and has a system of the provide the system of the system of the system of the system
Domestic Hot Water	18.30	18.30	0.00	DHW		these Tault fails of 000000 affects. There is not than about the shaft at solution in the shaft at solution in the shaft at the shaft a
Lighting	95.23	95.23	0.00	Lighting		and owner of the firm, ergy," The environment of masses in a data survey late survey, Gon, Arnold, "I have to admit, I never along are worthwhile," said "Than was a advisorer when the surged the commencement of another period." "Than was a advisorer when the surged the commencement of masses and the surged frame.
Receptacle	56.82	56.82	0.00	Receptacle		Pacific Energy with 30 to 40 you same or received tills over at the systems a year, south the course of 23 you's, suit for hence.
Process	0.00	0.00	0.00	Process		 The new sequences over granulation in the first line. Users, even more assers.
Process Lighting	0.00	0.00	0.00	Process Ltg		
TOTALS	285.18	255.19	29.98			
Percent better than Stand	ard	10.5 %	(10.5 % exclud	ng process)		



TDV

- TDV = Time Dependent Valuation, with higher value energy occurring during peak times of usage
- Currently High Value Electricity is during the summer weekday, noon to 6pm.
- Net Metering allows for the annual accumulation of energy production.

 Time Dependent



Figure 3 - TDV Costing Compared to Flat Costing – summer weekday

PACIFIC ENERGY COMPANY

PG&E Time Dependent Valuation (TDV) – Economics Methodology

Basis Loads

- Base on Building Occupancy
 - Envelope Heating & Cooling
 - Lighting Loads
 - Plug Loads
 - Process Loads
- Specialty Software for:
 - Pool Heating
 - Personnel Loads
 - Electric Car Charging





Location

Climate Zone Effects Energy Design Choices.

21001-story		Total kTE)V/sf-yr			
Climate Zone	2013 T24 Slandard Design Energy Use	2012 IE.CC Proposed Design Energy Use	Energy Diff.	Weighted Energy Diff.	Construction	
1	36.04	38.71	-2.67	-0.01	6.5	
2	25.18	13.20	1.95	0.05	579	
3	14.37	24.04	-9.67	-0.69	1,636	
4	14.37	24.04	-9.67	-0.65	1,541	
5	11.83	24.70	-12.87	-0.17	299	
6	7.93	8.74	-0.82	-0.07	2,034	
7	2.08	3.02	-0.94	-0.09	2,062	
8	15 38	12.36	3.62	0,37	2,765	
9	29.43	25.42	4.01	0.58	3,280	
10	30.44	28,50	1.94	0.21	2,484	
11	61.58	(6.91	-5.34	-0.15	621	
12	38.78	40.33	-1.55	-0.18	2,665	
13	65.38	64.32	1.05	0.06	1,380	
14	56.77	55.27	1.50	0.03	49.3	
15	101.34	1(2.03	-0.69	-0.01	494	
16	53.57	49.63	3.93	0.07	398	
1	564 47	591.22			22,796	
Statewide Savings	564.47	591.22	26.75	4.5%		





Source: California Energy Commission

Source: California Energy Commission



Energy Use Based on Occupancy Type

Relative energy use of building types





Area Considerations

- Impediments (vents, equipment)
 - 2.5 x Height clear from East, South & West
- Orientation & Slope
 - Southwesterly for TDV gain.
 - Minimum 10 degree slope.
 - 18 to 28 degree slope best.







Structural Considerations

- BOS space requirements
 - Inverter(s)
 - Can be the size of a paperback book to larger than a refrigerator.
 - Conduit
 - Needs to be properly sized and terminated to meet code requirements.
 - Roof Loading
 - Bolt Down Mounting Systems ~ +3# sq. ft.
 - Ballasted Systems ~ + 9# sq. ft.







Examples

- Electric Vehicle Charging
 - Tesla Roadster ~ 50 kWH, Chevy Volt ~ 12 kWH
- 1 kW (CEC) Array = ~ 75 Sq. Ft.
 - ~14' wide x 6' tall sloped roof
 - Gap rows ~30" on a flat roof



The Time to Charg Battery Electric Vel	e Guide provides you with a				
you. Find out more	licle or Plug-in Hybrid Vehic on evsolutions.com and tur	an estimated time t le See which type bodock.com	to fully charge yo of charger is righ	ir t for	
MAKE	MODEL	Houts to naur croste	HOURS TO FULLY CHARGE.	HOURS TO FILLE ON THE	
Nissan	LEAF	18 HRS		3.6 HIS	
Ford	Focus Electric	18 HRS		3.6 HRS	
Ford	Fusion Energi Plug-in	7 HRS		2.5 HRS	
Ford	C-Max Energi Plug-In	7 HRS		2.5 HR5	
FIAT	500e	23 HRS		3.6 HRS	
KIA	Soul	19,3 HRS		4.1 HRS	
Chevy	Volt	10-16 HRS		3.3 HRS	
Chevy	Spark	20 H85		7 HRS	In Miller
BMW	13	19.3 HRS		3.1 HRS	
BMW	18	6 HRS		1.8 HRS	
Mercadas	B Class	20 HRS		3.9 HRS	
Mitsubishi	I-MIEV	14 HRS		4.8 HRS	
Honda	Fit EV	13.9 HRS		3 HRS	
Smart	EV	12.2 HRS		5.3 HRS	
Toyota	RAV 4	29 HRS		5.8 MRS	
Toyota	Prim Plag-in	3.1 HRS		2.1 HRS	
Tesla	Roadster setatriz eleven	38.9 HR5		7.8 HRS	



PV Investment Value

- Build PV into the original Project Goals
 - A Measure of Security: Cashflow Payback: 4.8 years
 - Net Present Value (NPV): \$347,497 on \$221,000.00 Investment.
 - Internal Rate of Return (IRR): 19.6%
 - Stabilize Cost Solar Electric (PV): \$0.07 per kWh.

The Cost of Doing Nothing





Integrated Design Process

- Set Project Energy Goals
- Define Net Zero Energy Design

Pre-

Final

CD's

Constr.+ Post

- Use Energy Analysis Early
- Preliminary System Design Early
- Design • Team Meetings – design/eng./owner/Cx/builders
 - Evaluate Systems Design with Cost Trade-offs
 - Finalize loads and sizing
 - Approach Construction as Partnering Effort
 - Commission, Monitor and Adjust





Net Zero Team

- Create the Net Zero Energy Design Team:
 - Lead Designer
 - Certified Energy Analyst (CEA)
 - Performance Modeling
 - 3rd Party PV System Consultant
 - HERS II Rater
 - Commissioning Agent
 - MEP Consultants
 - Structural Engineer
 - Builder



Good Works



Contact



www.alteryourenergy.com

2121 Santa Barbara Avenue, San Luis Obispo, CA 93401 805-544-4700

